

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 36480

STATE OF IDAHO,)	2009 Unpublished Opinion No. 665
)	
Plaintiff-Respondent,)	Filed: November 4, 2009
)	
v.)	Stephen W. Kenyon, Clerk
)	
ALEXANDER JOSE CANTU,)	THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED
)	OPINION AND SHALL NOT
Defendant-Appellant.)	BE CITED AS AUTHORITY
)	

Appeal from the District Court of the Fifth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Cassia County. Hon. Michael R. Crabtree, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and fixed sentence of five years for attempted strangulation and consecutive unified sentence of twenty years, with a minimum period of confinement of five years, for lewd and lascivious conduct, affirmed.

Molly J. Huskey, State Appellate Public Defender; Jason C. Pintler, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Lori A. Fleming, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before GUTIERREZ, Judge; GRATTON, Judge;
and MELANSON, Judge

PER CURIAM

Alexander Jose Cantu pled guilty to attempted strangulation, I.C. §18-923, and lewd and lascivious conduct, I.C. § 18-1508. In exchange for his guilty plea, additional charges were dismissed. The district court sentenced Cantu to a fixed term of five years for attempted strangulation and a consecutive unified term of twenty years, with a minimum period of confinement of five years, for lewd and lascivious conduct. Cantu appeals.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-

15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Cantu's judgment of conviction and sentences are affirmed.